Topological Data Analysis

# **Persistence & Stability**

**Ulderico Fugacci** 

**CNR - IMATI** 







(Persistent) Homology allows for assigning to any (filtered) simplicial complex topological information expressed in terms of algebraic structures



Today, we address two main questions:

- Can this topological information be characterized in a simpler and "more visualizable" way?
- Is this information stable under small perturbations of the input data?

### Persistence & Stability

Given a filtration  $\mathscr{F}$ :  $K^0 \subseteq K^1 \subseteq ... \subseteq K^m$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and a field  $\mathbb{F}$ ,

its *persistence module*  $M := \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{N}} H_k(K^p; \mathbb{F})$  is a *finitely generated*  $\mathbb{F}$ *[x]-module* 

The corresponding structure theorem ensures us that



So, the persistence module M is completely determined by its persistence pairs

I.e., the collection of the pairs  $(p_i, q_i), (p'_j, \infty)$ 

### Persistence & Stability

The *core information* of persistent homology is given by the *persistence pairs* 

Given a filtration  $\mathscr{F}$ :  $K^0 \subseteq K^1 \subseteq ... \subseteq K^m$ ,



A persistence pair (*p*, *q*) is an element in  $\{0, ..., m\} \times (\{0, ..., m\} \cup \{\infty\})$  such that p < qrepresenting a **homological class** that is **born at step** *p* and **dies at step** *q* 

### Persistence & Stability

The *core information* of persistent homology is given by the *persistence pairs* 

Given a filtration  $\mathscr{F}$ :  $K^0 \subseteq K^1 \subseteq ... \subseteq K^m$ ,



(2, 3)

A persistence pair (*p*, *q*) is an element in  $\{0, ..., m\} \times (\{0, ..., m\} \cup \{\infty\})$  such that p < qrepresenting a **homological class** that is **born at step** *p* and **dies at step** *q* 

### Persistence & Stability

The *core information* of persistent homology is given by the *persistence pairs* 

Given a filtration  $\mathscr{F}$ :  $K^0 \subseteq K^1 \subseteq ... \subseteq K^m$ ,



(2, ∞) essential pair

A persistence pair (*p*, *q*) is an element in  $\{0, ..., m\} \times (\{0, ..., m\} \cup \{\infty\})$  such that p < q representing a **homological class** that is **born at step** *p* and **dies at step** *q* 

### Persistence & Stability

Differently from homology, persistent homology provides a notion of "shape" closer to our everyday perception

It is possible to *compare two shapes* by comparing their *homology groups* 

### Persistence & Stability

Differently from homology, persistent homology provides a notion of "shape" closer to our everyday perception

It is possible to compare two shapes by comparing their hop persistence pairs persistence pairs

### Persistence & Stability

Differently from homology, persistent homology provides a notion of "shape" closer to our everyday perception

It is possible to *compare two shapes* by comparing their *hopers*ISTENCE PAIR

In order to better perform the above task, we need:

- Visual and descriptive representations for persistence pairs
- Notions of *distance* between sets of persistence pairs and *stability results*

### **Persistence & Stability**

### Persistence Pairs and their Visualization

### Stability Results for Persistent Homology

### **Persistence & Stability**

### Persistence Pairs and their Visualization

### Stability Results for Persistent Homology

 $\subseteq$ 

 $\subseteq$ 

#### Given a filtration $\mathcal{F}$ ,

#### Persistent pairs of $\mathcal{F}$ can be visualized through:

- Barcodes [Carlsson et al. 2005; Ghrist 2008]
- Persistence diagrams [Edelsbrunner, Harer 2008]
- Persistence landscapes [Bubenik 2015]
- Corner points and lines [Frosini, Landi 2001]
- Half-open intervals [Edelsbrunner et al. 2002]
- k-triangles [Edelsbrunner et al. 2002]













### Persistence Landscapes:

*Persistence landscapes* are statistics-friendly representations of persistence pairs



Given a persistence module M, persistence landscapes

- Consist of a collection of 1-Lipschitz functions
- Lie in a vector space
- Are *stable* (under small perturbations of the input filtration)

Image from [Bubenik 2015]





### **Persistence & Stability**

### Persistence Pairs and their Visualization

### Stability Results for Persistent Homology



In order to be adopted in real applicative domains, it is crucial that

persistent homology is not affected by noisy data and small perturbations



\*The term "distance" is intended in a broad sense, including pseudo-metrics and dissimilarity measures

### Distances:

- + For the Data in Input:
  - \* Natural pseudo-distance of shapes
  - ✤ L<sub>∞</sub>-distance of filtering functions
  - \* Gromov-Hausdorff distance of metric spaces/point clouds
- For the Retrieved Persistent Homology Information:
  - Interleaving distance of persistence modules
  - \* Bottleneck (a.k.a. Matching) distance of persistence diagrams
  - Hausdorff distance of persistence diagrams
  - Wasserstein distances of persistence diagrams

Distances for Input Data:

Let (X, f) be a *pair* such that:

- \* X is a (triangulable) topological space
- f:  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a *continuous function*

A pair (X, f) induces a *filtration*:

+  $X^t := f^{-1}((-\infty, t])$ 

Image from [Ferri et al. 2015]

Definition:

The function f is called tame if:

- f has a finite number of homological critical values (i.e. the "time" steps in which homology changes)
- For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , the homology group  $H_k(X^t, \mathbb{F})$  has finite dimension

### Distances for Input Data:

Definition:

Given two pairs (X, f) and (Y, g), their natural pseudo-distance  $d_N$  is defined as:

$$d_N\Big((X,f),(Y,g)\Big) := \begin{cases} \inf_{h \in H(X,Y)} \{\max_{x \in X} \{|f(x) - g \circ h(x)|\} \} \\ +\infty & \text{if } H(X,Y) = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

where H(X, Y) is the set of all the homeomorphisms between X and Y

### Distances for Input Data:

Working with two functions f, g:  $X \to \mathbb{R}$  defined on the same topological space X, one can simply consider the  $L_{\infty}$ -distance between f and g



Image from [Rieck 2016]

### Distances for Input Data:

Given two *finite metric spaces* (X, d<sub>x</sub>), (Y, d<sub>Y</sub>) (e.g. two finite point clouds in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ),

Definitions:

A correspondence C:  $X \Rightarrow Y$  from X to Y is a subset of  $X \times Y$  such that

the canonical projections  $\pi_X: C \to X$  and  $\pi_Y: C \to Y$  are both surjective

The distortion dis(C) of a correspondence C:  $X \Rightarrow Y$  is defined as:

$$dis(C) := \sup \left\{ |d_X(x, x') - d_Y(y, y')| : (x, y), (x', y') \in C \right\}$$

The Gromov-Hausdorff distance  $d_{GH}$  between (X,  $d_X$ ) and (Y,  $d_Y$ ) is defined as:  $d_{GH}(X, Y) := \frac{1}{2} \inf \{ dis(C) \mid C : X \rightrightarrows Y \text{ is a correspondence} \}$ 

Distances for Persistent Homology Information:

Two persistence modules M and N are called  $\varepsilon$ -interleaved with  $\varepsilon \ge 0$  if there exist f and g such that, for any p,  $q \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $p \le q$ , the following diagrams commute



Distances for Persistent Homology Information:



#### Definitions:

Given two persistence diagrams D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub>,

their bottleneck distance  $d_B$  and Hausdorff distance  $d_H$  are defined as:

$$d_B(D_1, D_2) := \inf_{\gamma} \left\{ \sup_{x \in D_1} \{ \|x - \gamma(x)\|_{\infty} \} \right\}$$

$$d_H(D_1, D_2) := \max\left\{\sup_{x \in D_1} \left\{\inf_{y \in D_2} \{\|x - y\|_\infty\}\right\}, \sup_{y \in D_2} \left\{\inf_{x \in D_1} \{\|y - x\|_\infty\}\right\}\right\}$$

where  $\gamma$  ranges over all bijections from  $D_1$  to  $D_2$ 

Distances for Persistent Homology Information:



#### **Definitions:**

Given two persistence diagrams D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub>,

their bottleneck distance  $d_B$  and Hausdorff distance  $d_H$  are defined as:

$$d_B(D_1, D_2) := \inf_{\gamma} \left\{ \sup_{x \in D_1} \{ \|x - \gamma(x)\|_{\infty} \} \right\}$$

$$d_H(D_1, D_2) := \max\left\{\sup_{x \in D_1} \left\{\inf_{y \in D_2} \{\|x - y\|_\infty\}\right\}, \sup_{y \in D_2} \left\{\inf_{x \in D_1} \{\|y - x\|_\infty\}\right\}\right\}$$

where  $\gamma$  ranges over all bijections from  $D_1$  to  $D_2$ 

Stability Results:

Given two pairs (X, f), (Y, g) of topological spaces and *tame* functions and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let M, N be the induced k<sup>th</sup> persistence modules and let D<sub>1</sub>, D<sub>2</sub> be the corresponding persistence diagrams

• 
$$d_H(D_1, D_2) \le d_B(D_1, D_2)$$

$$\bullet \quad d_I(M,N) = d_B(D_1,D_2)$$

#### Theorem:

Under the above hypothesis, the following optimal lower bound holds

$$d_I(M,N) \le d_N\Big((X,f),(Y,g)\Big)$$



### Stability Results:

#### Theorem:

Given two finite metric spaces (X,  $d_X$ ), (Y,  $d_Y$ ),  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $D_X$ ,  $D_Y$  the  $k^{th}$  persistence

diagrams of the filtrations of the Vietoris-Rips complexes generated by X and Y,

$$d_B(D_X, D_Y) \le d_{GH}(X, Y)$$

# Bibliography

### General References:

- Books on TDA:
  - A. J. Zomorodian. *Topology for computing*. Cambridge University Press, 2005.
  - + H. Edelsbrunner, J. Harer. *Computational topology: an introduction*. American Mathematical Society, 2010.
  - R. W. Ghrist. *Elementary applied topology*. Seattle: Createspace, 2014.
- Papers on TDA:
  - G. Carlsson. *Topology and data*. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 46.2, pages 255-308, 2009.

### Today's References:

- Persistent Homology:
  - U. Fugacci, S. Scaramuccia, F. Iuricich, L. De Floriani. *Persistent homology: a step-by-step introduction for newcomers*. Eurographics Italian Chapter Conference, pages 1-10, 2016.
- Stability Results:
  - D. Cohen-Steiner, H. Edelsbrunner, J. Harer. Stability of persistence diagrams. Discrete & Computational Geometry 37.1, pages 103-120, 2007.
  - F. Chazal, D. Cohen-Steiner, M. Glisse, L. J. Guibas, S. Y. Oudot. *Proximity of persistence modules and their diagrams*. Proc. of the 35 annual symposium on Computational Geometry, pages 237-246, 2009.
  - F. Chazal, D. Cohen-Steiner, L. J. Guibas, F. Mémoli, S. Y. Oudot. Gromov-Hausdorff stable signatures for shapes using persistence. Computer Graphics Forum 28.5, pages 1393-1403, 2009.